

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,221 03/19/2004		Takayuki Kato	Q80577	6101		
23373	7590 05/23/2005			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	•		LIN, KUANG Y			
SUITE 800	YLVANIA	A AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC	20037	1725			

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/804,22	1	KATO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kuang Y. L		1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN SIGN OF THE SIGN OF THIS COMMUNION IN SIGN OF THE SIGN OF THIS COMMUNION IN SIGN OF THE SIGN OF THIS COMMUNION IN SIGN OF THE S	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever unication. of days, a reply within the statut tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered times the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
		b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from con						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	• • •		` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documer nal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen			,					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	· · ·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/804,221 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. In the junction paragraph between pages 11 and 12 of the specification it recites a venting structure. However, it is not clear what structure is referred to inasmuch as the drawing does not show the recited structure. Correction is required.

- 2. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - In claim 2, line 10, first word "a" shall be deleted to render the meaning definite. In lines 11,13 and 24, respectively, there is a lack of antecedent basis in the claim for "the charging of plural ceramic hollow particles", "the arrayed direction of a pressure die" and "said temporary sealing ceramic filter". Further, it is not clear where the ceramic hollow particles are located.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1725

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandley et al. and further in view of Cook.

Chandley et al substantially show the invention as claimed except that they do not cast composite material, do not provide a pressure die for pressurizing the array of apparatus elements and packing (claim 1 only) and an air vent (claim 1 only). However, Cook shows an apparatus for casting composite article. The apparatus includes a dispensing means for placing ceramic particles into the mold cavity and an air vent with a filter therein for allowing gas to pass therethrough but not ceramic particles. It would have been obvious to provide the ceramic particle dispensing means and the air vent of Cook in the apparatus of Chandley et al. if a composite article is to be made. It would have been obvious to provide a packing between the molten metal chamber and the mold of Chandley et al. and a pressurizing device to press the chamber and the mold in a tightly fit condition if the molten metal chamber and the casting mold are made separarable. It is conventional to provide a heating means for preheating a casting mold (see, for example, US 5,348,071 to Cook) for preventing premature solidification of molten metal during casting process. It is conventional to use hollow particles as reinforcement material (see, for example, US 4,909,300 to Horie) for making a metal matrix composite article.

6. US 2002/0179273 and US 3,615880 are cited to further show the state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,221 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725